In Vitro Investigation of the Antibacterial Activity of Nigella sativa Oil on Some of the Most Commonly Isolated Bacteria in Otitis Media and Externa

Esra Kocoglu¹, M. Tayyar Kalcioglu², Lokman Uzun², Ferhat Zengin¹, Serdal Celik², Serkan Serifler², Hanife Gulbay³ (D), Nevriye Gonullu³ (D)



Cite this article as: Kocoglu E, Kalcioglu MT, Uzun L, et al. In Vitro Investigation of the Antibacterial Activity of Nigella sativa Oil on Some of the Most Commonly Isolated Bacteria in Otitis Media and Externa. Éurasian | Med 2019; 51(3): 247-51.

ORCID IDs of the authors:

E.K. 0000-0002-2860-1794 M.T.K. 0000-0002-6803-5467 L.U. 0000-0003-0304-3789 F.Z. 0000-0002-0792-691X S.C. 0000-0001-8469-1547 S.S. 0000-0003-0771-7373 H.G. 0000-0002-0563-6185 N.G. 0000-0003-4289-1975

This study was presented in the 39 Turkish National Otorhinolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery Congress, 8th-12th November, 2017, Antalya, Turkey, 31st Politzer Society Meeting, 21st-24th February, 2018, Gran Canaria, Spain.

¹Department of Clinical Microbiology, İstanbul Medeniyet University School of Medicine, İstanbul, Turkey

²Department of Otorhinolaryngology, İstanbul Medeniyet University School of Medicine, İstanbul, Turkey

³Department of Clinical Microbiology, İstanbul University-Cerrahpasa, Cerrahpasa School of Medicine, İstanbul, Turkey

Received: November 1, 2018 Accepted: May 8, 2019

Correspondence to: M. Tayyar Kalcioglu E-mail: mtkalcioglu@hotmail.com

DOI 10.5152/eurasianjmed.2019.18386



Content of this journal is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

ABSTRACT

Objective: This study aimed to evaluate the antibacterial efficacy of Nigella sativa (NS) seed oil against the most frequently isolated infectious bacteria of the middle and external ear.

Materials and Methods: The in vitro antibacterial activity of NS oil was evaluated against 34 clinical isolates of Streptococcus pneumoniae, 32 clinical isolates of Moraxella catarrhalis, 32 clinical isolates of Haemophilus influenzae, and 32 clinical isolates of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli, and P. aeruginosa were also evaluated for their sensitivity to the NS oil. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of the NS oil was determined via a broth dilution technique. Serial solutions were prepared in a Mueller Hinton-F broth to achieve an ultimate concentration of NS oil within the microplate wells ranging from 256 µg/mL to 0.25 µg/mL. The growth control wells and medium were used for each bacterial strain, and the microplates were incubated at 35°C for 24 h. Those wells having no visible growth and the lowest concentration of NS oil were accepted as showing the MIC. Results: In this study, a comparison was made between NS oil and the various antibiotics known to be effective against the bacterial strains mentioned above. The NS was shown to have bactericidal activity against H. influenzae, M. catarrhalis, and S. pneumoniae. However, the NS was not found to be effective against P. geruginosa at any concentration.

Conclusion: The results of this laboratory-based study support the use of NS oil as an alternative treatment for ear infections. However, it is necessary to conduct clinical studies to evaluate the antibacterial efficacy of NS oil on patients with ear infections.

Keywords: Nigella sativa, Antibacterial activity, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Haemophilus influenzae, Moraxella catarrhalis, Pseudomonas aeruginosa

Introduction

Acute otitis media (AOM), otitis media with effusion (OME), and otitis externa (OE) are the most commonly seen diseases in otorhinolaryngology clinics [1, 2]. Streptococcus pneumoniae, Haemophilus influenzae, and Moraxella catarrhalis are the most frequently isolated bacteria in AOM and OME cases [3-6]. These three bacterial species are responsible for 95% of all AOM cases [7]. OE is an inflammatory disease of the external ear canal and auricula [8]. Fungi and other bacteria may play a role in the etiology, but the two most frequently isolated bacterial species are Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Staphylococcus aureus [9].

In recent years, due to the increase in bacterial resistance, there has been some debate about the selection and use of antibiotherapy in the treatment of AOM and OME. Based on the current guidelines, the use of systemic antibiotics in OME is not recommended [10]. To combat bacterial resistance, it has been suggested that the dose of amoxicillin used as the first step of treatment in AOM should be increased from 40-50 mg/kg to 80-90 mg/kg [11, 12]. The overall emergence of antibiotic resistance has led to investigations into different options for treatment. Priority in medical treatment of otitis externa is directed to pain. In most cases, full cure is achieved with analgesic and topical agents [13]. Topical fluroquinolone group agents known to be non-toxic with acidifying agents in the external ear canal are recommended for use with steroidal drops [14].

Nigella sativa (NS), which is a member of Ranunculaceae family, is also known as black seed or black cumin [15]. It is an annual flowering plant that grows in Southern Asian countries, and it has been

used for treatment in various branches of medicine for over 2000 years [16]. It has been shown that most of the biological activities of the seeds are dependent on thymoquinone, the main component of the volatile oil found in the seed oil. Its antibacterial efficacy against bacteria, especially Gram-positive bacteria, has been previously demonstrated [17-19]. In their study, Hariharan et al. [20] proved the efficacy of thymoguinone against the frequently seen methicillin-resistant S. aureus. However, as far as we know, there are no specific studies in the literature about the most frequently isolated bacteria in AOM, OME, and EO cases. In this study, we aimed to investigate the antibacterial efficacy of NS in a laboratory environment on the most frequently isolated bacteria in AOM, OME, and OE, which are S. pneumoniae, P. aeruginosa, M. catarrhalis, and H. influenzae.

Materials and Methods

This study began after receiving permission from the local ethics committee (4 April, 2017; 2017/0120).

Bacterial Isolates

A total of 34 strains of *S. pneumoniae*, 32 of *M. catarrhalis*, 32 of *H. influenzae*, and 32 of *P. aeruginosa* were isolated from patients with respiratory tract infections. The identification of isolated bacteria was studied using VITEK 2 automated systems (bioMerieux, France), and they were stored at -80°C until they were used in this study. Before this research began, the *M. catarrhalis* and *H. influenzae* strains were cultivated on chocolate agar, and the *S. pneumoniae* and *P. aeruginosa* were cultivated on 5% Sheep blood agar.

Antibiotic Efficacy and Minimum Inhibitory Concentration Tests

The antibiotic susceptibilities of the strains included in this study were determined via the disk diffusion method and according to the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) standards [21]. The used antibiotic discs (Thermo Fisher Scientific Oxoid Ltd., Basingstoke, UK) were stored at -20°C until the time of testing.

The antibiotic susceptibilities were tested after the bacteria were suspended using the 0.5 McFarland turbidity standard. The S. pneumoniae sensitivities to penicillin (oxacillin, I µg), moxifloxacin (5 µg), linezolid (30 µg), and cotrimoxazole (1.25/23.75 µg) were investigated. In addition, the M. catarrhalis and H. influenzae sensitivities to amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (ACA, 2/I µg), ceftriaxone (30 µg), imipenem (10 µg), meropenem (10 µg), and ciprofloxacin (5 µg) and the P. aeruginosa sensitivities to piperacillin/

tazobactam (100/10 μ g), ceftazidime (30 μ g), imipenem (10 μ g), meropenem (10 μ g), and ciprofloxacin (5 μ g) were investigated.

The media (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) used for the susceptibility testing and to determine the minimum inhibitor concentration (MIC) of the NS on the antimicrobial activity were prepared under laboratory conditions, in accordance with the strains to be studied and the aforementioned standards. The NS MIC was determined via the microdilution method. For this purpose, a stock solution of 512 µg/mL of NS was prepared using dimethyl sulfoxide. The serial dilutions of the NS were prepared from 256 μg/mL to 0.25 μg/mL in a Mueller Hinton-F (MH-F) broth (with 5% (v/v) mechanically defibrinated horse blood and 20 mg/L NAD). Then, $100~\mu L$ of the 0.5 McFarland suspension of the clinical isolates and control strains was added to each well. The final NS concentrations of the wells were in the range of 128-0.125. The microplates were incubated at 35°C for 24 h. The lowest concentration at which no visible reproduction was found was accepted as the MIC value of the NS.

Quality Control of Strains

Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC 29213), Escherichia coli (ATCC 25922), and *P. aeruginosa* (ATCC 27853) strains were used for both the antibiotic susceptibility tests and as control strains in the NS susceptibility studies.

Statistical Analysis

Percentages are calculated using the Microsoft Excel 2013 program.

Results

The antibiotic susceptibilities of the bacteria used in this study are shown in Table 1. According to our findings, the susceptibilities of

Table 1. Some antibiotic susceptibility rates of bacterial isolates								
	Streptococcus pneumoniae (n=34)		Haemophilus influenzae (n=32)		Moraxella catarrhalis (n=32)		Pseudomonas aeruginosa (n=32)	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Penicillin	34	100						
Amoxicillin-Clavulanic Acid	Ь		32	100	26	81.3		
Piperacillin-Tazobactam							18	56.3
Ceftazidime							19	59.4
Ceftriaxone			22	68.8	27	84.4		
Imipenem			32	100	26	81.3	26	81.3
Meropenem			31	96.9	25	78. I	22	68.8
Ciprofloxacin			26	81.3	24	75	25	78.1
Moxifloxacin	19	55.9						
Linezolid	33	97						
Co-trimoxazole	15	44						

Table 2. MIC values of Nigella sativa oil in bacterial isolates								
Strains MIC values (μg/mL)	Streptococcus pneumoniae (n=31)	Haemophilus influenzae (n=32)	Moraxella catarrhalis (n=32)	Pseudomonas aeruginosa (n=32)				
≤0.25	6	4	0	0				
0.5	5	6	I	0				
1	7	5	2	0				
2	2	4	4	0				
4	4	5	8	0				
8	2	2	7	0				
16	-	2	4	0				
32	3	1	2	0				
64	1	3	3	0				
128	-	0	1	0				
≥256	1	0	0	0				

	Streptococcus pneumoniae (n=31)				Haemophilus influenzae (n=32)			Moraxella catarrhalis (n=32)				
Nigella sativa MIC values	2 or less (20)		4 or more (II)		2 or less (19)		4 or more (13)		2 or less (7)		4 or more (25)	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Penicillin	20	100	11	100								
Amoxicillin Clavulanic Acid					19	100	13	100	6	85	20	80
Ceftriaxone					12	63	10	77	7	100	20	80
Imipenem					19	100	13	100	5	71	21	84
Meropenem					19	100	12	92	6	85	19	76
Ciprofloxacin					17	89	9	69	4	57	20	80
Moxifloxacin	10	50	6	55								
Linezolid	19	95	11	100								
Co-trimoxazole	10	50	4	36								

Table 4. Susceptibility rates of Nigella sativa strains with and without multiple drug resistance								
	Streptococo	Streptococcus pneumoniae		us influenzae	Moraxella catarrhalis			
	n*	%	n*	%	n*	%		
MDR, Yes	8	50	5	40	8	25		
MDR, No	23	74	27	63	24	21		
Total	31		32		32			
*Number of susceptible strains to Nigella sativa, MDR: Multiple drug resistance								

S. pneumoniae to penicillin and H. influenzae to amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (CA) and meropenem were 100%. The most effective antibiotic for M. catarrhalis was ceftriaxone (84.4%) and the least effective was ciprofloxacin (75%). The lowest effective rate for P. aeruginosa was obtained with piperacillin/tazobactam at a rate of 56.3%, while the most effective antibiotic was imipenem at a rate of 81.3%

The NS MIC values for the bacterial strains included in this study are given in Table 2. The quality control strains exhibited MIC values of 0.5 µg/mL for the *S. aureus* (ATCC 29213) and I µg/mL for the *E. coli* (ATCC 25922). Because it grew at all the concentrations, the MIC value could not be determined for the *P. aeruginosa* (ATCC 27853). In the current study, the NS was not found to be effective against *P. aeruginosa* at any concentration.

Assuming that the susceptibility limit of the bacteria to NS was an MIC of 2, the bacteria were grouped together as having MIC values of 2 or below and 4 or above. Since three strains of *S. pneumoniae* could not be grown, the microdilution tests could not be performed. The NS MIC values of 20 of the strains were 2 or below, while the remaining 11 strains had MIC values of 4 or above. While 10 of the 20 strains with MICs of 2 or below were susceptible to moxi-

floxacin, 6 of the 11 strains with MICs of 4 or above were susceptible. Moreover, 19 of the 20 strains with MICs of 2 or below were sensitive to linezolid, and all 11 strains with MICs of 4 or above were sensitive. Finally, 10 of the 20 strains with MICs of 2 or below were susceptible to co-trimoxazole, while only 4 of the 11 strains with MICs of 4 or above were susceptible (Table 3).

The NS MICs of 19 of the *H. influenzae* strains were 2 or below, while the remaining 13 strains had MICs of 4 or above. Of the 19 strains with MICs of 2 or below, 12, all 19, and 17 were susceptible to ceftriaxone, meropenem, and ciprofloxacin, respectively. Of the 13 strains with MICs of 4 or above, 10, 4, and 9 were susceptible to ceftriaxone, meropenem, and ciprofloxacin, respectively (Table 3).

The NS MICs of 7 strains of *M. catarrhalis* were 2 or below, with MICs of 4 or above found in the other 25 strains. Of the 7 strains with MICs of 2 or below, 6, all 7, 5, 6, and 4 were susceptible to amoxicillin/CA, ceftriaxone, imipenem, meropenem, and ciprofloxacin, respectively, while 20, 20, 21, 19, and 20 of the 25 strains with MICs of 4 or above were susceptible, respectively (Table 3).

Multiple drug resistance (MDR) was detected in eight strains of *S. pneumoniae* and *M. catarrhalis*,

and in five strains of *H. influenzae*. The susceptibility rates of the strains to NS, with or without MDR and/or susceptibility to all antibiotics, are shown in Table 4. If an MIC of 2 was used as the cut-off value, 50% of the *S. pneumoniae* strains with MDR, 40% of the *H. influenzae* strains, and 25% of the *M. catarrhalis* strains were found to be susceptible to NS. While 74% of the *S. pneumoniae* and 63% of the *H. influenzae* strains without MDR and with sensitivity to all antibiotics were found to be susceptible to NS, only 21% of the *M. catarrhalis* strains were susceptible.

Discussion

NS oil, which is used for the treatment of many health problems in traditional alternative medicine, has been proven to be effective against many bacteria [17-19]. For example, Hariharan et al. [20] reported the antibacterial activity of NS against methicillin-resistant S. aureus. In another study, Salman et al. [22] reported that NS was more effective against Gram-positive bacteria than Gram-negative bacteria. Specifically, they noted that NS oil was effective against Gram-positive bacteria Staphylococcus epidermidis, other coagulase negative staphylococci, and Streptococcus pyogenes. They also reported that Enterococcus faecalis and Streptococcus agalactiae were resistant to NS. Efficacy was only detected for P. aeruginosa in the Gram-negative bacterial group [22]. In another study performed by Chaieb et al. [19], the efficacy of thymoguinone, which is the active ingredient of NS, was evaluated on 11 human pathogenic bacteria, with reported efficacy, especially against S. epidermidis and S. aureus. All these studies suggest that NS may possess antibacterial properties that can be used in various fields.

Antibiotic resistance is an extremely important problem. In particular, the use of inappropri-

ate and unnecessary antibiotics increases the number of resistant bacterial strains and their resistance rates. The fact that this resistance becomes more and more evident each day increases concerns for the future. Therefore, research into new molecules that may be effective against infectious agents continues throughout the world.

Some common infections of the ear, nose, and throat area are middle ear and external ear canal infections, such as AOM, OME, and OE. Some of the most frequently found pathogens in these infections are *S. pneumoniae*, *M. catarrhalis*, *H. influenzae*, and *P. aeruginosa* [3-6]. Many studies have shown that these pathogens have developed resistance to certain antibiotics at specific rates.

There has been a steady decline in the susceptibility of S. pneumoniae to the most commonly used beta-lactams. In a current study, Cherazard et al. [23] found S. pneumoniae isolate resistance rates of 20%-40%, 22%, and 35% against macrolides, clindamycin, and trimethoprim/ sulfamethoxazole (TMP/SMX), respectively. In another study, Rosenblut et al. [24] reported resistance rates of 5% against penicillin and 33% against erythromycin. According to Sillanpää et al. [25], 80% of S. pneumoniae isolates were penicillin-sensitive and 20% were moderately resistant. They also reported that none of these resistant strains were susceptible to erythromycin or TMP/SMX [25]. Additionally, the authors reported that the resistance to erythromycin was 40% and the resistance to TMP/SMX was 20% in the overall evaluation of all the isolates. According to Sillanpää et al. [25], the antibiotic resistance of H. influenzae was evaluated, and moderate resistance to erythromycin [47%] was found, with full resistance to TMP/SMX and ampicillin reported as 29% and 24%, respectively. Additionally, the authors reported that M. catarrhalis resistance, it was found that all the strains were resistant to ampicillin and sensitive to the other antibiotics [25]. Rosenblut et al. [24] studied H. influenzae and reported the antibiotic resistance against ampicillin as 14%, cefuroxime as 2%, and cefotaxime as 2%. In another study, P. aeruginosa was reported to be highly resistant to various antibiotics, including ciprofloxacin and tobramycin [26]. The common results of these and similar studies show that antibiotic resistance has reached important levels. These results are clear signs of the need for new and different molecules to fight infection.

In our study, the antibacterial efficacy of NS oil against the most frequently found bacterial isolates in AOM, OME, and OE was evaluated in the

laboratory. The MIC values were obtained in all the bacteria except *P. aeruginosa*. When the efficacy of the NS oil were compared with the other known antibiotics against the pathogens in our study, the NS oil was found to be ineffective against *P. aeruginosa*, as opposed to the Salman et al. report [22]. These contrary results for the same bacteria should be clarified by different studies.

The limitation of the study is the low number of strains. Further studies with more strains may contribute.

To our knowledge, there have been no studies about the effect of NS against the bacteria known to be particularly active in AOM and OME, such as *H. influenzae*, *M. catarrhalis*, and *S. pneumoniae*. For this research, a comparative study was conducted between NS and various antibiotics known to be effective against these bacteria, and the NS was found to have effects similar to some of these antibiotics, and in some cases, stronger effects.

Because of the increase in bacterial resistance to the antibiotics used in daily practice, it appears that NS oil may be an alternative for the treatment of AOM and OME. More detailed studies will contribute to the clarification of this issue in the future.

Ethics Committee Approval: Ethics committee approval was received for this study from the Clinical Studies Ethics Committee of Istanbul Medeniyet University Goztepe Training and Research Hospital (April 4, 2017, 217/0120).

Informed Consent: N/A.

Peer-review: Externally peer-reviewed.

Author Contributions: Concept – E.K., M.T.K., S.C.; Design – E.K., M.T.K., S.C., S.S., N.G.; Supervision - E.K., M.T.K.; Resources – S.C., S.S., H.G., F.Z.; Materials – E.K., M.T.K., H.G., F.Z.; Data Collection and/or Processing - E.K., M.T.K., H.G., F.Z., N.G.; Analysis and/or Interpretation – E.K., M.T.K., S.C., S.S.; Literature Search – S.C., S.S., H.G., F.Z.; Writing Manuscript – S.C., S.S., H.G., F.Z.; Critical Review – E.K., M.T.K., N.G.

Conflict of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared that this study has received no financial support.

References

- Rettig E, Tunkel DE. Contemporary concepts in management of acute otitis media in children.
 Otolaryngol Clin North Am 2014; 47: 651-72.
 [CrossRef]
- Minovi A, Dazert S. Diseases of the middle ear in childhood. GMS Curr Top Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2014; 13: Doc11.

- Kalcioglu MT, Oncel S, Durmaz R, Otlu B, Miman MC, Ozturan O. Bacterial etiology of otitis media with effusion; focusing on the high positivity of Alloiococcus otitidis. New Microbiol 2002; 25: 31-5.
- Kimberlin DW, Brady MT, Jackson MA, Long SS, American Academy of Pediatrics, Haemophilus influenzae Infections, Redbook: 2015,Report of the Committee on Infectious Diseases,30th ed. Elk Grove Village, 2015; p.368-376
- Chonmaitree T, Trujillo R, Jennings K, et al. Acute Otitis Media and Other Complications of Viral Respiratory Infection. Pediatrics 2016; 137: pii: e20153555.
- Kimberlin DW, Brady MT, Jackson MA, Long SS, American Academy of Pediatrics, Group A Streptococcal Infections, Redbook: 2015, Report of the Committee on Infectious Diseases. 30th ed. Elk Grove Village, 2015; p.732-744
- Marchisio P, Esposito S, Picca M, et al. Prospective evaluation of the aetiology of acute otitis media with spontaneous tympanic membrane perforation. Clin Microbiol Infect 2017; 23: 486.e1-486.e6.
- Roland PS, Stroman DW. Microbiology of acute otitis externa. Laryngoscope 2002; 112: 1166-77.

 [CrossRef]
- Russell JD, Donnelly M, McShane DP, Alun-Jones T, Walsh M. What causes acute otitis externa? J Laryngol Otol 1993; 107: 898-901. [CrossRef]
- Rosenfeld RM, Shin JJ, Schwartz SR, et al. Clinical Practice Guideline: Otitis Media with Effusion Executive Summary (Update). Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2016; 154: 201-14. [CrossRef]
- Pediatric ear infection: updated AAP treatment guidelines. Medscape Medical News. https:// www.medscape.com/viewarticle/779817 February 25, 2013
- 12. Lieberthal AS, Carroll AE, Chonmaitree T, et al. The diagnosis and management of acute otitis media. Pediatrics 2013; 131: e964-99.
- Kaushik V, Malik T, Saeed SR. Interventions for acute otitis externa. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2010; 10.1002/14651858.CD004740.pub2. [CrossRef]
- 14. Wall GM, Stroman DW, Roland PS, Dohar J. Ciprofloxacin 0.3%/dexamethasone 0.1% sterile otic suspension for the topical treatment of ear infections: a review of the literature. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2009; 28: 141-4. [CrossRef]
- Kokten N, Egilmez OK, Dogan Ekici AI, Kalcioglu MT, Tekin M, Yesilada E. The effect of Nigella sativa oil on prevention of myringosclerosis in a Guinea pig model. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 2016; 88: 52-7. [CrossRef]
- Polat R, Satil F, Cakilcioglu U. Medicinal plants and their use properties of sold in herbal market in Bingoel (Turkey) district. Biol Divers Conserv 2011; 4: 25-35.
- Toama MA, El-Alfy TS, El-Fatatry HM. Antimicrobial activity of the volatile oil of Nigella sativa Linneaus seeds. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1974; 6: 225-6. [CrossRef]
- Hanafy MS, Hatem ME. Studies on the antimicrobial activity of Nigella sativa seed (black cumin). J Ethnopharmacol 1991; 34: 275-8. [CrossRef]
- Chaieb K, Kouidhi B, Jrah H, Mahdouani K, Bakhrouf A. Antibacterial activity of Thymoquinone, an active

- principle of Nigella sativa and its potency to prevent bacterial biofilm formation. BMC Complement Altern Med 2011; 11: 29. [CrossRef]
- 20. Hariharan P, Paul-Satyaseela M, Gnanamani A. In vitro profiling of antimethicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus activity of thymoquinone against selected type and clinical strains. Lett Appl Microbiol 2016; 62: 283-9. [CrossRef]
- The European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. Breakpoint tables for interpretation of MICs and zone diameters. Version 5.0, 2015. http://www.eucast.org.
- Salman MT, Khan RA, Shukla I, Antimicrobial activity of Nigella sativa Linn. Seed oil against multidrug resistant bacteria from clinical isolates. Nat Prod Radiance 2008; 7: 10-4.
- 23. Cherazard R, Epstein M, Doan TL, Salim T, Bharti S, Smith MA. Antimicrobial Resistant Streptococcus pneumoniae: Prevalence, Mechanisms, and Clinical Implications. Am J Ther 2017; 24: e361-9. [CrossRef]
- Senblut A, Napolitano C, Pereira A, et al. Etiology of acute otitis media and serotype distribution of Streptococcus pneumoniae and Haemophilus in-
- fluenzae in Chilean children <5 years of age. Medicine (Baltimore) 2017; 96: e5974. [CrossRef]
- Sillanpää S, Sipilä M, Hyöty H, Rautiainen M, Laranne J. Antibiotic resistance in pathogens causing acute otitis media in Finnish children. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 2016; 85: 91-4. [CrossRef]
- 26. Park MH, Kim SY, Roh EY, Lee HS. Difference of Type 3 secretion system (T3SS) effector gene genotypes (exoU and exoS) and its implication to antibiotics resistances in isolates of Pseudomonas aeruginosa from chronic otitis media. Auris Nasus Larynx 2017; 44: 258-65. [CrossRef]